

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.ispio.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 08/809,463   | 07/18/1997     | MITSUHIRO NAKAMURA   | P97.0322            | 7619             |  |
| 7  | 590 01/16/2003 |                      |                     |                  |  |
| DAVID R. METZGER, ESQ.                                       |                |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| SONNENSCHEIN, NATH & ROSENTHAL<br>P.O. BOX 061080            |                |                      | CAO, PHAT X         |                  |  |
| WACKER DRIVER STATION, SEARS TOWER<br>CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080 |                | TOWER                | ART UNIT PAPE       |                  |  |
|  |                |                      | 2814                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary    Examiner  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |           |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner Phat X. Cao Period for Reply  AS HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Examiner for phy specified solves it less than this (O. days, a reply when the statutory minimum of thing (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is acceled above, the maximum distatory period will apply and will expire SIX (§) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Examiner for phy specified solves, the maximum distatory period will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is acceled above, the maximum distatory period will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is acceled above, the maximum distatory period will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is acceled above, the maximum distatory period will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is acceled above, the maximum distatory period will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is acceled above, the maximum distatory period will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is acceled above, the maximum distatory period will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is acceled above, the maximum distatory period will be considered.  Any reply received by the Office lite above, the maximum distatory period will be considered timely.  Any reply received by the Office lite above, the maximum distatory period will be considered timely.  Any reply received by the Office lite above the maximum distance period will be considered timely.  Any reply received by the Office distance and period the period of the communication, which is the period of the communication.  Any period the maximum distance and the period of the maximum distance and period the period to the drawing(s) be held in abovenous.  Any period the period the period to period the period the period the period the period to  |  | 08/809.463  | NAKAMURA ET AL.   | 1h        |  |  |  |  |  |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estancians of the man pits a availation under the procession of 3°C FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filed at the period for reply specified above its less than thirty (30) days, are apply within the statutory inflower of the procession of  | Office Action Summary  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ***are Str. (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  ***in the proof of reply specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with a space and a specific at the communication.  **Application is FINAL.**  **1)   | •  | Phat X. Cao   | 2814  |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Sammands for many to ambilities under the provisions of 37 Miles (1504). Inno event, however, may a reply be timely filed  if the period for right specified above is less frank thinty (30) days, a neply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for right specified above is less frank thinty (30) days, a neply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for right specified above is less frank thinty (30) days, a neply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for right specified above is less frank thinty (30) days, a neply within the statutory minimum of the manufacture of this communication.  If the period for right specified above is less frank thinty (30) days, and provided by the day will be seen and a statutory minimum of the seen and see |  | opears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence address  |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 3X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It also provided to reply is specified under the international provision of the |  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2002.  2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(e) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  | <ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> | . 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tireply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE. | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | cation.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   |  | 2 October 2002  |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ⟨Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ⟨Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⟨Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⟨Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⟨Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ⟨The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ⟨The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ⟨accepted or b) ⟨ objected to by the Examiner.  Application way not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ⟨The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ⟨approved b⟩ ⟨ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ⟨The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ⟨Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⟨All b⟩ ⟨Some* c⟩ ⟨None of:  1. ⟨Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ⟨Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ⟨Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ⟨The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ⟨Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(e)  10 ⟨Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  5) ⟨Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   | ,  |   | rosecution as to the me   | rits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   a) All b) Some * c) None of:   1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)   1  Interview Summany (PTO-413) Paper No(s)    2)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | closed in accordance with the practice unde  |   |   | 1110 10   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 ◯ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1 ◯ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | ·  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)    Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   | ,  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)   Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7)   Claim(s)   | •  | ,   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | <u> </u>   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   |  | i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Poratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | ,  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some coll None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | ,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | · · _  | ner.  |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | ,— .   |   | miner   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)   |  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)   |  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  | ,  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) \[ All \] b) \[ Some * c) \[ None of:  1. \[ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. \[ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. \[ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) \[ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) \[ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) \[ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) \[ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) \[ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | •  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   | ,—   |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  |  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  | <del>-</del>  |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes  | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(  | e) (to a provisional appl   | ication). |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Attachment(s)  |   |   |           |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) Notice of Informal   | - ·   |           |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 08/809,463 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 9-13, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson et al (US. 5,098,859) in view of Twynam et al (US. 5,508,536).

Jackson discloses a device including a GaAs substrate, a non-single crystal semiconductor layer comprising In (see, for example, "EXAMPLE 3" as well as col. 5, line 56), and an uppermost conductive film made of WSi. With respect to claims 9 and 19, note figure 2.

Jackson fails to teach the claimed details of the uppermost conductive film.

However, Twynam teaches a metal structure including a WN or WSi film 10 for making contact with III-V semiconductor region 7 comprising In (column 6, lines 51-54). Twynam teaches that such a contact structure allows for improving heat resistance to enhance reliability because of forming of a heat resisting metal WN (column 5, lines 13-18). Accordingly, from the suggestion of Jackson that "the metal is not critical and any metal will make a good contact" (column 6, lines 7-10) and from the teaching of Twynam that the heat resisting metal includes

Application/Control Number: 08/809,463

Art Unit: 2814

WSi or WN for making contact with III-V semiconductor layer comprising In (i.e., InGaAs), it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the heat resisting metal of WN as taught by Twynam because according to Twynam, both WSi and WN can be used to contact III-V semiconductor layer comprising In for improving heat resistance to enhance reliability (column 5, lines 13-18). Furthermore, selecting TiN instead of WN for the metal nitride layer would also have been obvious because the artisan was aware that similar properties were obtained from using Ti or W (see Board's decision on page 11). Therefore, selecting of any of these known equivalents to be used as a heat resisting refractory metal nitride layer would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

3. Claims 4-8 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson and Twynam as applied to claim (1,10) above, and further in view of Nirschl et al (DE 41 29 647 A1).

With respect to claims 4-6 and 14-16, the combination of Jackson and Twynam substantially reads on the above claims, except that it fails to disclose the uppermost conductive film comprising a metallization series as claimed.

However, Nirschl teaches a metal structure for making contact with III-V semiconductor regions. Nirschl's structure includes a metallization series comprising: a first metal layer (2), a nitride layer (5), a refractory metal film (6) of titanium (see page 7, lines 18-19 of English translation) and a second metal layer (4). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device as disclosed by Jackson with a

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/809,463

Art Unit: 2814

conductive film structure as taught by Nirschl because according to Nirschl, such metallization series are necessary to create connectors for electrical contact on the surface of III-V semiconductors (page 2, lines 3-6 of English translation), especially for optoelectronics semiconductor chips (page 11, lines 1 of English translation), in order to allow for reliable high temperature operation (page 8, lines 8-12 of English translation).

With respect to claims 8 and 18, Nirschl teaches the use of titanium refractory metal other than the use of refractory metals of W, Ta, and Mo as claimed. However, because W, Ta, Mo and Ti are well known refractory metals and commonly used in the art for the well known purpose of reducing the contact resistances, it would have been obvious to select W, Ta, or Mo for Ishihara's Ti because of their equivalence for their use in the semiconductor art as conductive materials and the selection of any of these known equivalents to be used as a low resistivity conductive material would be within the level of skill in the art.

With respect to claims 7 and 17, while Nirschl uses a metal other than those claimed by Applicant, the claimed metals are well known in the art and their use in Nirschl's structure would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time of invention as a result of routine engineering design, optimization, and implementation considerations.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 08/809,463

Art Unit: 2814

4. Applicant argues that Twynam suggest a metal nitride film of WN, but not suggest a metal nitride film selected from the group as claimed.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

- first, the artisan was aware that similar properties were obtained from using refractory metal W or Ti (see Board's decision on page 11). Therefore, replacing the WN film disclosed by Twynam with a TiN film would have been obvious because of the known similarities between these refractory nitride metals;
- second, as admitted by Applicant, these refractory nitride metals (i.e., WN, TiN) would produce no functional different ( Applicant's specification on page 10);
- and third, the U.S. Patent 5,089,438 issued to Katz is cited to provide the evidence of the known feature of forming a refractory metal nitride 13 of TiN on III-V compound semiconductor material 11 (Fig. 1).

## Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

,

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 08/809,463

Art Unit: 2814

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Phat X. Cao whose telephone number is (703) 308-4917. The Examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday. If attempts to reach the Examiner by

telephone are unsuccessfully, the Examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on

(703) 308-4918.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. Group 2800 fax

number is (703) 308-7722 or (703) 308-7724.

PC

January 10, 2003

Carmanka

PHAT X. CAO PRIMARY EXAMINER